# Math 246C Lecture 21 Notes

### Daniel Raban

### May 21, 2019

## 1 The $\overline{\partial}$ -Equation, the Hartogs Extension Theorem, and Regularization of Subharmonic Functions

### 1.1 Compactly supported solutions of the $\overline{\partial}$ -equation

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $f_j \in C_0^k(\mathbb{C}^n)$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n$  and n > 1 be such that  $\overline{\partial} f = 0$ . Then the equation  $\overline{\partial} u = f$  has a unique solution  $u \in C_0^k(\mathbb{C}^n)$ .

*Proof.* Consider  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \overline{z}_j}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Define

$$u(z) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{f_1(\zeta_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)}{\zeta_1 - z_1} L(d\zeta_1).$$

Then  $u \in C^k(\mathbb{C}^n)$ , and  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \overline{z}_1} = f_1$ . When j > 1, we have by the compatibility conditions that

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \overline{z}_j} = -\frac{1}{\pi} \iint \frac{\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \overline{z}_j}(\zeta_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)}{\zeta - z_1} L(d\zeta_1) = \frac{1}{\pi} \iint \frac{\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \overline{z}_1}(\zeta_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)}{\zeta - z_1} L(d\zeta_1) = f_j(z),$$

using Cauchy's integral formula.

We claim that if n > 1, then u is compactly supported: If  $|z_1| + \cdots + |z_n|$  is large enough, then u(z) = 0. On the other hand,  $\overline{\partial}u = 0$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus K$ , where  $K = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \operatorname{supp}(f_i)$  is compact.  $u \in \operatorname{Hol}(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus K)$ , and if  $\Omega$  is the unbounded component, then, as u(z) = 0 on some open set in  $\Omega$ , u = 0 in  $\Omega$  by the uniqueness of analytic continuation. So  $\operatorname{supp}(u) \subseteq K \cup \bigcup \mathcal{M}$ , where M is a bounded component of  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus K$ . This is bounded, so  $u \in C_0^k(\mathbb{C}^n)$ .  $\Box$ 

### **1.2** The Hartogs extension theorem

**Theorem 1.2** (Hartogs extension theorem). Let  $|Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  be open with n > 1, and let  $K \subseteq \Omega$  be compact with  $\Omega \setminus K$ . Let  $u \in \operatorname{Hol}(\Omega \setminus K)$ . Then there exists a  $U \in \operatorname{Hol}(\Omega)$  such that U = u in  $\Omega \setminus K$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$  such that  $\varphi = 1$  in a neighborhood of K. Then let  $u_0 = (1 - \varphi)u \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ . We shall construct a holomorphic extension U of u such that  $U = u_0 - v$ , where we need  $v \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$  and  $\overline{\partial}U = 0$ . We need

$$\begin{split} 0 &= \overline{\partial} U \\ &= \overline{\partial} u - \overline{\partial} v \\ &= \overline{\partial} ((1 - \varphi)u) - \overline{\partial} v \\ &= (\overline{\partial} (1 - \varphi))u - \overline{\partial} v \\ &= (\overline{\partial} (1 - \varphi))u - \overline{\partial} v \\ &= -(\overline{\partial} \varphi)u + \overline{\partial} v \end{split}$$

with compact support  $\subseteq \Omega$ , away from K. Here, we have used that  $u \in \operatorname{Hol}(\Omega \setminus K)$ . We have that  $(\overline{\partial}\varphi)u \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}^n; \mathbb{C}^n)$ . Solve:

$$\overline{\partial}v = -(\overline{\partial}\varphi)u.$$

The compatibility conditions are satisfied:

$$\partial_{\overline{z}_k} \left( \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \overline{z}_j} u \right) = \partial_{\overline{z}_j} \left( \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \overline{z}_k} u \right) \qquad \forall j, k.$$

So there exists a  $v \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  solving this, and  $\operatorname{supp}(\overline{\partial}v) \subseteq \operatorname{supp}(\varphi)$ . So v = 0 on the unbounded component O of  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \operatorname{supp}(\varphi)$ . We get  $U - u_0 - v = (1 - \varphi)u - v \in \operatorname{Hol}(\Omega)$ , and U = u on  $O \cap (\Omega \setminus \operatorname{supp} \varphi)$ , which is an open subset of  $\Omega \setminus K$ . This is nonempty because  $\partial O \subseteq \operatorname{supp}(\varphi)$ , so since  $\Omega \setminus K$  is connected, U = u in  $\Omega \setminus K$ .  $\Box$ 

The following special case is of note:

**Corollary 1.1.** Let  $f \in Hol(\mathbb{C}^n)$  with n > 1. Then f cannot have an isolated zero.

*Proof.* If f(0) = 0 and  $f \neq 0$  on 0 < |z| < R, then apply the Hartogs extension theorem to  $K = \{0\}$  and  $\Omega = \{|z| < R\}$ . Then  $h = 1/f \in \operatorname{Hol}(\Omega \setminus K)$ , os there exists a extension  $U \in \operatorname{Hol}(|z| < R)$ . Then fU = 1, which is a contradiction.

### **1.3** Regularization of subharmonic functions

Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be open and connected. Let  $u \in SH(\Omega)$  with  $u \not\equiv -\infty$ . Then  $u \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$ . Let  $0 \leq \varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{C})$  be such that  $supp(\varphi) \subseteq \{|z| < 1\}$  and  $\int \varphi(z) L(dz) = 1$ , where  $\varphi$  depends only on |z|.

Remark 1.1. We can take

$$\varphi(z) = Ch(1 - |z|^2), \qquad h(t) = \begin{cases} e^{-1/t} & t > 0\\ 0 & t \le 0. \end{cases}$$

You can check that  $h^{(j)}(0) = 0$  for all j, so  $h \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ .

Define

$$u_{\varepsilon} = u * \varphi_{\varepsilon}, \qquad \varphi_{\varepsilon}(z) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \varphi\left(\frac{z}{\varepsilon}\right),$$

 $\mathbf{SO}$ 

$$u_{\varepsilon}(z) = \int u(z-\zeta)\varphi_{\varepsilon}(\zeta) L(d\zeta), \qquad z \in \Omega_{\varepsilon} = \{z \in \Omega : \operatorname{dist}(z,\Omega^{c}) > \varepsilon\}.$$

**Proposition 1.1.**  $u_{\varepsilon} \in (C^{\infty} \cap SH)(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$ , and  $u_{\varepsilon} \downarrow u$  as  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ .

*Proof.* We have

$$u_{\varepsilon}(z) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int u(\zeta)\varphi\left(\frac{z-\zeta}{\varepsilon}\right) L(d\zeta) \in C^{\infty}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}).$$

Check the sub-mean value inequality: First write

$$u_{\varepsilon}(z) = \int u(z - \varepsilon \zeta) \varphi(\zeta) L(d\zeta).$$

If  $z \in \Omega_{\varepsilon}$  and r is small, then since u is subharmonic,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u_{\varepsilon}(z + re^{it}) dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int u(z + re^{it} - \varepsilon\zeta)\varphi(\zeta) L(d\zeta) dt$$
$$\geq \int u(z - \varepsilon\zeta)\varphi(\zeta) L(d\zeta)$$
$$= u_{\varepsilon}(z).$$

To show that  $u_{\varepsilon}(z) \ge u(z)$ , we have

$$u_{\varepsilon}(z) = \int u(z + \varepsilon\zeta)\varphi(\zeta) L(d\zeta)$$
  
= 
$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \underbrace{\left(\int_{0}^{2\pi} u(z + \varepsilon r e^{it}) dt\right)}_{\geq 2\pi u(z)} \varphi(r) r dr$$
  
$$\geq \underbrace{\left(2\pi \int_{0}^{\infty} \varphi(r) r dr\right)}_{=1} u(z).$$

We will finish the proof next time.